

(b)(3) NatSecAct

# Publications Review Board

"This Web Blog is a Privacy Act system of Records"

## (U) Application of Denial-In-Full (DIF) Policy Upheld – 22 July 2010

Posted on July 27, 2010 by

(b)(3) CIAAct  
(b)(6)

UNCLASSIFIED

### Issue: Review of Correct Application of Denial-In-Full:

**Board Decision:** Chairman reviewed and Board upheld the decision of 9 December 2009 regarding the application of DIF.

"In cases where a current employee or contractor has raised issues of "appropriateness" within their manuscript, a DIF will only be considered if the topic is highly sensitive – e.g., renditions, interrogations, detainees, and the like – whereby the subject matter is so "charged" that an author could not discuss the topic without dramatically and definitively adversely affecting the Agency's ability to perform its mission. For a manuscript involving less-sensitive topics (such as PRB 18480-09 by ) only selective deletions will be applied. Likewise, when a manuscript is written by a former employee or contractor, a DIF would be highly unlikely since only classified information is being identified. Only selective deletions would be applied in identifying this classified information."

(b)(3) CIAAct  
(b)(6)

## Related Content

- (U) 22 July 2010 Minutes
- (U) Denial-in-Full
- (U) 28 July 2010 Minutes
- (U) 22 February 2010 Minutes

(b)(3) NatSecAct

The accredited security level of this system is: ~~TOP SECRET//SI~~

Be the first to subscribe to this post. [Click here.](#)

This entry was posted in **Imported Content, Policies** by [\[redacted\]](#) Bookmark the  
**permalink** [\[redacted\]](#)

(b)(3) NatSecAct

(b)(3) CIAAct  
(b)(6)